

PHARMACEUTICS SEMINAR EVALUATION

DATE: _____

TOPIC: _____

PRESENTER: _____

EVALUATOR: _____

Scale

1 2 3 4 5
Unacceptable ←————→ Optimal

Please circle the appropriate number utilizing the above scale:

Presentation Style (5%)

poor --> optimal

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Moderately paced | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. Good eye contact and minimal reliance on notes or reading of slides | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. Displayed professionalism/poise/confidence/lacked distracting mannerisms | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. Presentation/# of slides are of appropriate length for time allowed | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5. Material presented at the appropriate level for the audience | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Instructional Materials (20%)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 6. Slides were clear/easy to read | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7. Slides are devoid of spelling/grammatical errors | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 8. Visual aids enhanced comprehension | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 9. Provided orientation to charts/graphs/pictures/diagrams | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 10. Cites references and emphasizes primary literature | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Overall Presentation Content (30%)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 11. Introduced and described interest in topic and scope of presentation | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 12. Defined significance of seminar topic clearly | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 13. Appropriate background information provided | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 14. Smooth transitions between discussion points/sections of seminar | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Presentation of Data (20%)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 15. Presented concise objectives and methodology for each study | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 16. Results were accurately described | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 17. Described statistical analysis (were statistical methods adequate?) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 18. Provided a detailed & thoughtful interpretation of the data | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 19. Conclusions are supported by data presented in the seminar | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 20. Importance and application of the study is discussed and analyzed | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Question & Answer Session (10%)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 21. Succinctly yet thoroughly answered audience questions | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 22. Encouraged questions and interaction with the audience | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Provide one comment on what you liked about this seminar:

Provide one comment on what could be improved about this seminar:

Further Comments: